



Concerned Women for America
FACT CARD

“Gays” in the Military

Allowing open homosexuals to serve in the military will be destructive to good order and discipline.

- Heterosexuals would be in forced cohabitation with open homosexuals 24/7 on all military bases and ships, an arrangement placing undue sexual tension on men and women serving far from home in high-pressure jobs.
- Inappropriate actions common among open homosexuals would have to be permitted in all military communities.
- Service members would be subjected to routine “diversity” training to enforce this new paradigm, and failure to comply would result in career-ending loss of promotions.
- Forced acceptance of homosexuality under the civil rights model would place service members with strong religious convictions in direct conflict with military policy.
- Service members expressing any concern about homosexuality or a homosexual service member would be referred to as bigoted, homophobic, or intolerant and could face charges for sexual harassment or bullying.
- Allowing open homosexuals in the military increases the chances that superiors will take advantage of subordinates — a fact that is documented and one of the factors leading to the passage of the 1993 law.

For more information on this issue and others - www.cwfa.org or 1(800)458-8797.



Concerned Women for America
FACT CARD

“Gays” in the Military

Allowing open homosexuals to serve in the military will be destructive to good order and discipline.

- Heterosexuals would be in forced cohabitation with open homosexuals 24/7 on all military bases and ships, an arrangement placing undue sexual tension on men and women serving far from home in high-pressure jobs.
- Inappropriate actions common among open homosexuals would have to be permitted in all military communities.
- Service members would be subjected to routine “diversity” training to enforce this new paradigm, and failure to comply would result in career-ending loss of promotions.
- Forced acceptance of homosexuality under the civil rights model would place service members with strong religious convictions in direct conflict with military policy.
- Service members expressing any concern about homosexuality or a homosexual service member would be referred to as bigoted, homophobic, or intolerant and could face charges for sexual harassment or bullying.
- Allowing open homosexuals in the military increases the chances that superiors will take advantage of subordinates — a fact that is documented and one of the factors leading to the passage of the 1993 law.

For more information on this issue and others - www.cwfa.org or 1(800)458-8797.



Concerned Women for America
FACT CARD

“Gays” in the Military

Allowing open homosexuals to serve in the military will be destructive to good order and discipline.

- Heterosexuals would be in forced cohabitation with open homosexuals 24/7 on all military bases and ships, an arrangement placing undue sexual tension on men and women serving far from home in high-pressure jobs.
- Inappropriate actions common among open homosexuals would have to be permitted in all military communities.
- Service members would be subjected to routine “diversity” training to enforce this new paradigm, and failure to comply would result in career-ending loss of promotions.
- Forced acceptance of homosexuality under the civil rights model would place service members with strong religious convictions in direct conflict with military policy.
- Service members expressing any concern about homosexuality or a homosexual service member would be referred to as bigoted, homophobic, or intolerant and could face charges for sexual harassment or bullying.
- Allowing open homosexuals in the military increases the chances that superiors will take advantage of subordinates — a fact that is documented and one of the factors leading to the passage of the 1993 law.

For more information on this issue and others - www.cwfa.org or 1(800)458-8797.



Concerned Women for America
FACT CARD

“Gays” in the Military

Allowing open homosexuals to serve in the military will be destructive to good order and discipline.

- Heterosexuals would be in forced cohabitation with open homosexuals 24/7 on all military bases and ships, an arrangement placing undue sexual tension on men and women serving far from home in high-pressure jobs.
- Inappropriate actions common among open homosexuals would have to be permitted in all military communities.
- Service members would be subjected to routine “diversity” training to enforce this new paradigm, and failure to comply would result in career-ending loss of promotions.
- Forced acceptance of homosexuality under the civil rights model would place service members with strong religious convictions in direct conflict with military policy.
- Service members expressing any concern about homosexuality or a homosexual service member would be referred to as bigoted, homophobic, or intolerant and could face charges for sexual harassment or bullying.
- Allowing open homosexuals in the military increases the chances that superiors will take advantage of subordinates — a fact that is documented and one of the factors leading to the passage of the 1993 law.

For more information on this issue and others - www.cwfa.org or 1(800)458-8797.

Please protect our military members!

Civil Rights and Affirmative Action

· If open homosexuals are allowed to serve, the military will adopt a “civil rights” model for implementation, which would force recruiters to *seek out* open homosexuals and the services could enact quotas, offer enlistment opportunities to those previously denied, and retroactive promotions and financial settlements to those claiming past discrimination.

Personnel Loss in an All-Volunteer Force

· A recent *Military Times* poll shows that for the fourth year in a row, 58 percent of active duty service members oppose lifting the ban. Nearly 10 percent of service members polled say they would not re-enlist or extend their service, with another 14 percent saying they would consider leaving the military if the ban was lifted.
· Should these views track with National Guard and Reserve troops that were not surveyed, a loss rate of one-in-ten out of a force of more than two million, would result in the loss of approximately 228,600 people — more than the active duty Marine Corps. If the additional 14 percent also choose to leave, losses could reach 527,000 — an unsustainable loss rate approaching the size of today’s active duty Army.

ACT on the FACTS

Urge your U.S. Senators and Representatives to oppose the repeal of the 1993 statute (Section 654, Title 10) and to not support open — non-discrete — homosexuals in our military.

Contact them at 202-224-3121.

For more information on this issue and others - www.cwfa.org or 1(800)458-8797.

Please protect our military members!

Civil Rights and Affirmative Action

· If open homosexuals are allowed to serve, the military will adopt a “civil rights” model for implementation, which would force recruiters to *seek out* open homosexuals and the services could enact quotas, offer enlistment opportunities to those previously denied, and retroactive promotions and financial settlements to those claiming past discrimination.

Personnel Loss in an All-Volunteer Force

· A recent *Military Times* poll shows that for the fourth year in a row, 58 percent of active duty service members oppose lifting the ban. Nearly 10 percent of service members polled say they would not re-enlist or extend their service, with another 14 percent saying they would consider leaving the military if the ban was lifted.
· Should these views track with National Guard and Reserve troops that were not surveyed, a loss rate of one-in-ten out of a force of more than two million, would result in the loss of approximately 228,600 people — more than the active duty Marine Corps. If the additional 14 percent also choose to leave, losses could reach 527,000 — an unsustainable loss rate approaching the size of today’s active duty Army.

ACT on the FACTS

Urge your U.S. Senators and Representatives to oppose the repeal of the 1993 statute (Section 654, Title 10) and to not support open — non-discrete — homosexuals in our military.

Contact them at 202-224-3121.

For more information on this issue and others - www.cwfa.org or 1(800)458-8797.

Please protect our military members!

Civil Rights and Affirmative Action

· If open homosexuals are allowed to serve, the military will adopt a “civil rights” model for implementation, which would force recruiters to *seek out* open homosexuals and the services could enact quotas, offer enlistment opportunities to those previously denied, and retroactive promotions and financial settlements to those claiming past discrimination.

Personnel Loss in an All-Volunteer Force

· A recent *Military Times* poll shows that for the fourth year in a row, 58 percent of active duty service members oppose lifting the ban. Nearly 10 percent of service members polled say they would not re-enlist or extend their service, with another 14 percent saying they would consider leaving the military if the ban was lifted.
· Should these views track with National Guard and Reserve troops that were not surveyed, a loss rate of one-in-ten out of a force of more than two million, would result in the loss of approximately 228,600 people — more than the active duty Marine Corps. If the additional 14 percent also choose to leave, losses could reach 527,000 — an unsustainable loss rate approaching the size of today’s active duty Army.

ACT on the FACTS

Urge your U.S. Senators and Representatives to oppose the repeal of the 1993 statute (Section 654, Title 10) and to not support open — non-discrete — homosexuals in our military.

Contact them at 202-224-3121.

For more information on this issue and others - www.cwfa.org or 1(800)458-8797.

Please protect our military members!

Civil Rights and Affirmative Action

· If open homosexuals are allowed to serve, the military will adopt a “civil rights” model for implementation, which would force recruiters to *seek out* open homosexuals and the services could enact quotas, offer enlistment opportunities to those previously denied, and retroactive promotions and financial settlements to those claiming past discrimination.

Personnel Loss in an All-Volunteer Force

· A recent *Military Times* poll shows that for the fourth year in a row, 58 percent of active duty service members oppose lifting the ban. Nearly 10 percent of service members polled say they would not re-enlist or extend their service, with another 14 percent saying they would consider leaving the military if the ban was lifted.
· Should these views track with National Guard and Reserve troops that were not surveyed, a loss rate of one-in-ten out of a force of more than two million, would result in the loss of approximately 228,600 people — more than the active duty Marine Corps. If the additional 14 percent also choose to leave, losses could reach 527,000 — an unsustainable loss rate approaching the size of today’s active duty Army.

ACT on the FACTS

Urge your U.S. Senators and Representatives to oppose the repeal of the 1993 statute (Section 654, Title 10) and to not support open — non-discrete — homosexuals in our military.

Contact them at 202-224-3121.

For more information on this issue and others - www.cwfa.org or 1(800)458-8797.